Inspection Report
Moderator: Mitch
Author: Hunter

- The code is understandable to read
- There is little documentation, just single line short comments
- No description of the Model class but we all know what it is for so that is probably why
- The naming conventions are very consistent(i.e getters and setters). There could be more description for some more ambiguous variables names such as writer.
- There is a lot of methods but they all seem to belong there (I cannot find any that definitely should not be in there).
- The code is very clean and consistent with nice spacing and indentation
- Im positive there is code that can, and will, be removed because of where we are at with our project
- The method parameters are most logical for how they are used
- I can't think of any methods that would make the class more efficient at the moment
- The code performs well
- I don't think the time complexity could be noticeably lower
- The space complexity also seems to be as low as noticeably possible
- The style of the code set the tone for the rest of the front end
- Everything is consistent and well named, just needs more documentation